1	FAC						
2	Mark R. Thierman, Nev. Bar No. 8285 mark@thiermanbuck.com						
3	Joshua D. Buck, Nev. Bar No. 12187 josh@thiermanbuck.com						
4	Leah L. Jones, Nev. Bar No. 13161 leah@thiermanbuck.com						
5	THIERMAN BUCK LLP 7287 Lakeside Drive						
6	Reno, Nevada 89511 Tel. (775) 284-1500						
7	Fax. (775) 703-5027						
8	Christian Gabroy, Nev. Bar No. 8805 christian@gabroy.com Kaine Messer, Nev. Bar No. 14240						
9	kmesser@gabroy.com						
10	GABROY MESSER 170 S. Green Valley Pkwy						
11	Henderson, NV 89012 Tel. (702) 259-7777 Fax. (702) 259-7704						
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff						
13		DISTRICT COURT					
14	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT						
15	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA					
16	COREY SPLOND, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No.: A-19-79 Dept. No.: 5					
17	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED					
18	VS.	COMPLAINT					
		Arbitration Exem					

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

SHIFTPIXY, INC.; FOOD WITH PURPOSE, LLC d/b/a and a/k/a SHARKY'S WOODFIRED MEXICAN GRILL also d/b/a and a/k/a SHARKY'S MODERN MEXICAN KITCHEN; and DOES 1 through 50; inclusive,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: A-19-791709-C

FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Arbitration Exemption Claimed: Class Action

- 1) Failure to Pay Overtime in Violation of NRS 608.018 and 608.140;
- Failure to Timely Pay All Wages Due and Owing in Violation of NRS 608.020-050 and 608.140; and,
- 3) Injunctive Relief.

LIEN REQUESTED PURSUANT TO NRS 608.050

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

27 28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(702) 259-7777 FAX: (702) 259-7704

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMES NOW Plaintiff Corey Splond, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated and alleges the following:

All allegations in this First Amended Complaint are based upon information and belief except for those allegations that pertain to the Plaintiff named herein and his counsel. Each allegation in the Complaint either has evidentiary support or is likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the state law claims alleged herein because the amount in controversy exceeds \$15,000 and a party seeking to recover unpaid wages has a private right of action pursuant to the Nevada Constitution, Article 15 Section 16, and Nevada Revised Statute ("NRS") sections 608.050 and 608.140. See Neville v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court in & for County of Clark, 406 P.3d 499, 502 (Nev. 2017).
- 2. Plaintiff also claims a private cause of action to foreclose a lien against the property owner for wages due pursuant to NRS 608.050.
- 3. Plaintiff made a proper demand for wages due pursuant to NRS 608.140 on March 19, 2019.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court because the Defendants named herein maintains a principal place of business or otherwise are found in this judicial district and many of the acts complained of herein occurred in Clark County, Nevada.
 - 5. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues triable by jury herein.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Corey Splond (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Splond") was at all relevant times a resident of the State of Nevada.
- 7. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a non-exempt hourly employee from in or around June of 2018 to in or around January of 2019.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 8. Defendant Shiftpixy, Inc. ("Defendant Shiftpixy") is a foreign corporation registered with the Nevada Secretary of State.
- 9. Defendant Shiftpixy was doing business in this Judicial District in Clark County, Nevada where the subject incidences occurred.
 - 10. At all times relevant, Defendant Shiftpixy was Plaintiff's employer.
- 11. Defendant Shiftpixy represents on Plaintiff's 2018 W-2 that it was Plaintiff's employer.
- 12. Defendant Food With Purpose, LLC d/b/a and a/k/a Sharky's Woodfired Mexican Grill also d/b/a and a/k/a Sharky's Modern Mexican Kitchen is a foreign limitedliability company registered with the Nevada Secretary of State. Defendant Food With Purpose, LLC (individually identified as "Defendant Sharky's") hold the fictitious firm name for Sharky's Woodfired Mexican Grill with Clark County.
- 13. Defendant Sharky's was doing business in this Judicial District in Clark County, Nevada where the subject incidences occurred.
- At all times relevant, Defendant Sharky's was Plaintiff's joint employer along with Defendant Shiftypixy.
- 15. Collectively, Defendant Shiftpixy and Defendant Sharky's are referred to herein as Defendants.
- 16. At all relevant times, each Defendant was an agent, employee, jointventurer, shareholder, director, member, co-conspirator, alter ego, master, or partner of each of the other Defendants, and at all times mentioned herein were acting within the scope and course and in pursuance of his, her, or its agency, joint venture, partnership, employment, common enterprise, or actual or apparent authority in concert with each other and the other Defendants.
- 17. At all relevant times, the acts and omissions of Defendants concurred and contributed to the various acts and omissions of each and every one of the other Defendants in proximately causing the complaints, injuries, and damages alleged herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

At all relevant times herein, Defendants approved of, condoned and/or otherwise ratified each and every one of the acts or omissions complained of herein. At all relevant times herein, Defendants aided and abetted the acts and omissions of each and every one of the other Defendants thereby proximately causing the damages as herein alleged.

18. The Defendants named herein are the employers of the Plaintiff and all Class Members alleged herein. The Defendants are employers engaged in commerce under the provisions of NRS 608.011. The identity of DOES 1-50 is unknown at the time and the Complaint will be amended at such time when the identities are known to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each Defendants sued herein as DOE is responsible in some manner for the acts, omissions, or representations alleged herein and any reference to "Defendant" or "Defendants" herein shall mean "Defendants and each of them."

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendant Shiftpixy Incorporated

- 19. Defendant Shiftpixy is a publicly traded corporation with a NASDAQ stock ticker symbol of PIXY.
- 20. Defendant Shiftpixy is a staffing agency that focuses on employing parttime gig workers and deploying these workers to assist clients (i.e., restaurants) staff their businesses. Defendant Shiftpixy's purported "purposes" are "TO BRING EFFICIENCY TO THE PART-TIME LABOR MARKETS":

ShiftPixy combines the modern perks of the gig economy with traditional employment benefits.

Our app serves as an all-in-one workforce management platform for operators (aka, business owners) that rely on contingent employees. But it's also a dynamic employment resource for shifters (aka, part-time workers) who want the freedom to make their own schedule.

Shifters can receive valuable benefits such as health insurance and workers' compensation. Meanwhile, operators are able to rest easy knowing that they remain compliant with labor laws and free of time-consuming admin tasks.

(702) 259-7777 FAX: (702) 259-7704

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ShiftPixy's gig platform truly represents a new way to work.

See https://shiftpixy.com/ourstory/ (last visited Jan. 30, 2022).

21. Defendant Shiftpixy guarantees and ensures that all labor laws are being followed so that their clients (i.e., restaurants) do not have to worry about burdening themselves with employment law compliance:

CUSTOMIZABLE RULES MAKE COMPLIANCE SIMPLE

- Stay compliant with notifications when labor laws change
- Custom rules engine ensures schedules are always compliant with federal, state and local regulations
- Ensure laws are followed: hours worked, break frequency and time between shifts

See https://shiftpixy.com/operators/ (last visited Jan. 30, 2022) (emphasis added).

22. On its Securities and Exchange Commission Form 10K submitted at the end of fiscal year ended on August 31, 2021, Defendant Shiftpixy identified this litigation as and stated:

Splond Litigation

On April 8, 2019, claimant, Corey Splond, filed a class action lawsuit on behalf of himself and other similarly situated individuals in the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada, Clark County, naming the Company and its client as defendants, and alleging violations of certain wage and hour laws. This lawsuit is in the initial stages, and the Company denies any liability. Even if the plaintiff ultimately prevails, the potential damages recoverable will depend substantially upon whether the Court determines in the future that this lawsuit may appropriately be maintained as a class action. Further, in the event that the Court ultimately enters a judgment in favor of plaintiff, the Company believes that it would be contractually entitled to be indemnified by its client against at least a portion of any damage award.

See https://ir.shiftpixy.com/sec-filings/all-sec-filings##document-484-0001104659-21-146029-1 (last visited on Jan. 30, 2022).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 23. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a non-exempt employee from in or around June of 2018 to in or around January of 2019.
- 24. Defendants maintain an unlawful policy of not paying daily overtime to nonexempt hourly employees who earn 1 ½ times less than the applicable minimum wage.
 - 25. Plaintiff has frequently worked over 8 hours in any 24-hour workday.
- 26. On many occasions, Plaintiff has worked a shift until the late evening hours and then returned early the next morning to work a day shift. The number of hours he worked in a workday under Nevada law was over 8 hours in a 24-hour period of time.
- 27. For instance, during the workweek of September 18, 2018 Defendants scheduled Plaintiff to work and Plaintiff did work over 8 hours in a 24-hour period of time. See a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's time card and job detail attached hereto as Exhibit I.
- 28. But despite having worked more than 8 hours in a 24-hour period of time, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at 1 ½ times his regular rate of pay for the overtime hours he worked. See Exhibit I.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendants maintain a company-wide policy and practice of refusing to pay daily overtime wages to Nevada employees who worked over 8 hours in a workday.
- 30. This "no daily overtime" policy has always been common to all non-exempt hourly paid employees at Defendants' locations in the state of Nevada.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 31. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference all the paragraphs above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 32. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees as a class action under Rule 23 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - 33. The **Shiftpixy Class** is defined as "All hourly paid non-exempt persons

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

employed by Defendant Shiftpixy in the state of Nevada who earned less than 1 ½ times the applicable minimum wage and who worked over eight (8) hours in a workday at any time within 3 years from March 19, 2019 until judgment."

- 34. The **Shiftpixy Waiting Time Penalty Subclass** is defined as "All Shiftpixy Class Members who are former employees of Defendant Shiftpixy."
- 35. The **Sharky's Class** is defined as "All hourly paid non-exempt persons employed by Defendant Sharky's in the state of Nevada who earned less than 1 ½ times the applicable minimum wage and who worked over eight (8) hours in a workday at any time within 3 years from March 19, 2019 until judgment."
- 36. The Sharky's Waiting Time Penalty Subclass is defined as "All Sharky's Class Members who are former employees of Defendant Sharky's."
- 37. Class treatment is appropriate under Rule 23's class certification mechanism because:
- a. The Classes are Sufficiently Numerous: Upon information and belief, Defendants employ, and has employed, in excess of 100 Class Members within the applicable time period. Because Defendants are legally obligated to keep accurate payroll records, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' records will establish the members of the Classes as well as their numerosity.
- b. Plaintiff's Claim is Typical to Those of Fellow Class Members: Each Class Member is and was subject to the same practices, plans, or policies as Plaintiff: whether Defendants compensated Plaintiff and members of the Class daily overtime wages when they worked over 8 hours in a workday and whether members of the Waiting Time Penalty Class are entitled to waiting time penalties for the failure to pay them minimum, regular, and overtime wages owed.
- C. Common Questions of Law and Fact Exist: Common questions of law and fact exist and predominate as to Plaintiff and the Class Members, including, without limitation: whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class Members one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of 8 hours a workday and whether Defendants failed to pay the Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Members all their wages due and owing in violation of NRS 608.020-050.

- d. Plaintiff is Adequate Representative of the Class: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Classes because Plaintiff is a member of the Classes, he has issues of law and fact in common with all members of the Classes, and his interests are not antagonistic to Class members. Plaintiff and his counsel are aware of their fiduciary responsibilities to Class Members and are determined to discharge those duties diligently by vigorously seeking the maximum possible recovery for Class Members.
- Predominance/Superior Mechanism: Class claims predominate and e. a class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Each Class Member has been damaged and is entitled to recovery by reason of Defendants' illegal policy and/or practice of failing to compensate its employees in accordance with Nevada wage and hour law. The prosecution of individual remedies by each Class Member will tend to establish inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendants and result in the impairment of Class Members' rights and the disposition of their interest through actions to which they were not parties.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Failure to Pay Overtime Wages in Violation of NRS 608.018 and 608.140 (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Shiftpixy and Sharky's Classes)

- 38. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference all the paragraphs above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. NRS 608.140 provides that an employee has a private right of action for unpaid wages.
 - 40. NRS 608.018(1) provides as follows:

An employer shall pay 1 1/2 times an employee's regular wage rate whenever an employee who receives compensation for employment at a rate less than 1 1/2 times the minimum rate prescribed pursuant to NRS 608.250 works: (a) More than 40 hours in any scheduled week of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

work; or (b) More than 8 hours in any workday unless by mutual agreement the employee works a scheduled 10 hours per day for 4 calendar days within any scheduled week of work.

41. NRS 608.018(2) provides as follows:

> An employer shall pay 1 1/2 times an employee's regular wage rate whenever an employee who receives compensation for employment at a rate not less than 1 1/2 times the minimum rate prescribed pursuant to NRS 608.250 works more than 40 hours in any scheduled week of work.

- 42. As described above, Defendants maintain a policy and/or practice of illegal shift jamming (i.e., refusing to pay daily overtime when Plaintiff and members of the Classes who worked over 8 hours in a workday). As a result, Plaintiff and Class Members have been denied overtime compensation according to Nevada law.
- 43. Wherefore, Plaintiff demands for himself and all Class Members that Defendants pay Plaintiff and Class Members one and one-half times their "regular rate" of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a workday during the relevant time period together with attorneys' fees, costs, and interest as provided by law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Waiting Time Penalties Pursuant to NRS 608.020-.050 and 608.140 (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Shiftypixy and Sharky's Waiting Time Penalty Subclasses)

- Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference all the paragraphs 44. above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. NRS 608.140 provides that an employee has a private right of action for unpaid wages.
- 46. NRS 608.020 provides that "[w]henever an employer discharges an employee, the wages and compensation earned and unpaid at the time of such discharge shall become due and payable immediately."
- 47. NRS 608.030 provides that "[w]henever an employee resigns or quits his or her employment, the wages and compensation earned and unpaid at the time of the employee's resignation or quitting must be paid no later than...[t]he day on which the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

employee would have regularly been paid the wages or compensation; or[s]even days after the employee resigns or quits...whichever is earlier."

- 48. NRS 608.040(1)(a-b), in relevant part, imposes a penalty on an employer who fails to pay a discharged or quitting employee: "Within 3 days after the wages or compensation of a discharged employee becomes due; or on the day the wages or compensation is due to an employee who resigns or quits, the wages or compensation of the employee continues at the same rate from the day the employee resigned, guit, or was discharged until paid for 30-days, whichever is less."
- 49. NRS 608.050 grants an "employee lien" to each discharged or laid-off employee for the purpose of collecting the wages or compensation owed to them "in the sum agreed upon in the contract of employment for each day the employer is in default, until the employee is paid in full, without rendering any service therefore; but the employee shall cease to draw such wages or salary 30 days after such default."
- 50. By failing to pay Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Members their minimum, regular, and overtime wages in violation of state and federal law, Defendants have failed to timely remit all wages due and owing to the Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Members.
- 51. Despite demand, Defendants willfully refused and continue to refuse to pay Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Members all the wages that were due and owing upon the termination of their employment.
- 52. Wherefore, the Waiting Time Penalty Subclass Members demand thirty (30) days of pay as waiting penalties under NRS 608.040 and 608.140, and thirty (30) days of pay as waiting penalties under NRS 608.050 and 608.140, together with attorneys' fees, costs, interest, and punitive damages, as provided by law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Injunctive/Declaratory Relief (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Shiftpixy and Sharky's Classes)

53. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference all the paragraphs above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 54. As Defendants have failed to compensate Plaintiff and members of the Classes at the correct overtime wage rate for all the overtime hours that they worked pursuant to NRS 608.018, Defendants have wrongfully withheld wages properly-owed to the Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 55. Plaintiff and the members of the Classes will suffer irreparable injury if Defendants are not enjoined from the future wrongful retention of wages owed.
- 56. As a result of the aforementioned unlawful payment practices, Plaintiff submits that there has been a likelihood of success on the merits that Plaintiff and the Class Members have been damaged, that there is irreparable harm, and Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter an Order that restrains Defendants from attempting to enforce the alleged unlawful payment practices.
- 57. Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter a declaration of rights/obligations in regards to all such unlawful payment practices in this matter.
- 58. Further, disputes and controversies have arisen between the parties relative to the lawfulness of the payment practices, and Plaintiff is entitled to have an order entered pursuant to Chapter 30 of the Nevada Revised Statutes construing the payment practices and adjudging and declaring Plaintiff and the Class Members' rights and remedies thereunder including such an Order stating that such payment practices are unlawful.
- 59. Plaintiff has been required to retain the services of an attorney and is entitled to a reasonable award of attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore Plaintiff, by himself and on behalf of all Class Members, prays for relief as follows relating to his class action allegations:

1. For an order certifying this action as a class action on behalf the proposed Classes and providing notice to all Class Members so they may participate in this lawsuit;

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

2.	For an order appointing Plaintiff as the Representative of the Classes and
	his counsel as Class Counsel;

- For damages according to proof for overtime compensation under NRS
 608.018 and 608.140 for all hours worked over 8 hours per day;
- 4. For waiting time penalties pursuant to NRS 608.040-.050 and 608.140;
- 5. For a lien on the property where Plaintiff and all Nevada Class Members labored pursuant to NRS 608.050;
- 6. For interest as provided by law at the maximum legal rate;
- 7. For injunctive relief;
- 8. For declaratory relief;
- 9. For punitive damages;
- 10. For reasonable attorneys' fees authorized by statute;
- 11. For costs of suit incurred herein;
- 12. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and,
- 13. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: February 25, 2022

GABROY | MESSER

By: <u>/s/ Christian Gabroy</u>
Christian Gabroy, Nev. Bar No. 8805
christian@gabroy.com
Kaine Messer, Nev. Bar No. 14240
kmesser@gabroy.com
170 S. Green Valley Pkwy, Suite 280
Henderson, NV 89012

Mark R. Thierman, Nev. Bar No. 8285 mark@thiermanbuck.com
Joshua D. Buck, Nev. Bar No. 12187 josh@thiermanbuck.com
Leah L. Jones, Nev. Bar No. 13161 leah@thiermanbuck.com
THIERMAN BUCK LLP
7287 Lakeside Drive
Reno, Nevada 89511

Attorneys for Plaintiff

	1	I. Christian Gabrov on the 25th day of February 2022, caused to be electronical					
	2 3	served through the Court's Odyssey system a true and correct copy of the foregoing FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT addressed to:					
		O and Malana Far					
	4	Scott Mahoney, Esq. Allison L. Kheel, Esq.					
	5	FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1500					
	6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorney for Defendants					
	7	By: /s/ Christian Gabroy					
	8	Christian Gabroy, Esq. Kaine Messer, Esq.					
	9	GABROY MESSER The District at Green Valley Ranch					
	10	170 South Green Valley Pkwy Suite 280					
	11	Henderson, Nevada 89012 Tel (702) 259-7777					
80 704	12	Fax (702) 259-7704 christian@gabroy.com					
Suite 2 012 259-77	13	kmesser@gabroy.com Attorney for Plaintiff					
Gabroy Messer 170 S. Green Valley Pkwy., Suite 280 Henderson, Nevada 89012 (702) 259-7777 FAX: (702) 259-7704	14	Attorney for Flamum					
Oy Valley son, Ner 7 FAX	15						
Green Valle Henderson, N 259-7777 FA	16						
170 S. (702) 2	17						
	18						
	19						
	20						
	21						
	22						
	23						
	24						
	25						
	26						
	27						
		d .					

EXHIBIT

Paring From 1	moreous to exercises				
990 (
and the second	W 100 32562.19	Statement Control	Contract of the Contract of th		
77700 - A		Contract Contract			
799.46 ₀	Collect Property and Printed P	3274			and the second
Alle Can	are sense sense	1177 1971	Commission 4.00		
	** DEN \$170.6			(A)	
All of the second			100		Contract Con
NOTE CANAL	out William	Target and			
former and the second	198 618 2016	1.400 a troughter			
July Cooks	and the second s	That Schoolson			
200 T2 100	2004 P.	3.15 in George			
ADD COMME	7 Ave. 476.000	ATTION CO. CO. CO.	4		
Ing. Cooks	7 (16an)	Part Company			
	the Plant Williams and Admin	\$ 900 m Great			entranta de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composició
700 Cooks	Stranger and Stran	Confidence of the Confedence o		Service and the service and th	
Service Co.	OUT 5/19/2016 3/19/8	New Schoolsen			
PRO Contra	the Super Secretary	O CONSTRUCTION			
200 Corks	COURT TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED IN C	Pales Systemation			
**************************************	35 Sum Arracute 1 scom	59Ch9eau			
	CH17 3 30pm	Est Teore			
900 Coaks	Total House Worked True Week	0.11Not Scheduled	The second secon		
Capes	The second second second	23.48 Regular 23.48	Cereman 9.00	and the second	
300 Cooks	OUT 47mm	Late 4.50Cm Break		The state of the s	
*******	M Mon 9/24/2018	348 From Break			
300 Cooks	4 96cm	5 GENat Scheduled			
	W fue: 9/25/2018 8/45/en	Litte			
300 Cooks	11.52m	3 110 : Break			
7000	N Tun 9/25/2016 12:27pm	On Time			
300 Cooks	GU7 4.00pm	3 55Mgr Clock Out	Down McGee		
	16 Thu 9/27/2018 9 04gm	On Time		Citi Time	
	OUT 1 19pm note to enabled, totals are setimates until the la				

And the second second					
906 - Croks	W Thi 9/27/2019 (5)	page 1 to Table		English Section 1997	The second second
100	OIT 413	pri 2.200h hose			
777		44.	and the second second second		
r	letel Hours Worked This Week	17.69 Regulat	17-59 Grattera 0.00		
- 1	Job Totals	Regular	Hours Creating Hears	Resultatives Common	Cay Imales
1	-				22 2222
	IO Cooks	And the second second	107.70 0.03	1200-96	0.52 1.236.07
L	Total Mours Proteod This Pay Parical	107.70	107,70 0.03	1,296.56	0.52 1.239.07