

1 **THIERMAN BUCK LLP**
 2 MARK R. THIERMAN, SB# 72913
 3 JOSHUA D. BUCK, SB# 258325
 4 LEAH L. JONES, SB# 276448
 5 JOSHUA R. HENDRICKSON, SB# 282180
 6 7287 Lakeside Drive
 7 Reno, NV 89511
 8 Tel: 775.284.1500
 9 Fax: 775.703.5027
 10 mark@thiermanbuck.com
 11 josh@thiermanbuck.com
 12 leah@thiermanbuck.com
 13 joshh@thiermanbuck.com

FILED
 San Francisco County Superior Court

DEC 14 2023

CLERK OF THE COURT
 BY: _____ Deputy Clerk

8 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
 9 AND THE PUTATIVE CLASS

10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
 11 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

12 APRIL CLARK, on behalf of herself, all
 13 other similarly situated and typical
 14 individuals, and the general public,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 DIGNITY HEALTH; COMMONSPIRIT
 18 HEALTH; and DOES 1 through 50,
 19 inclusive,

20 Defendants.

Case No. CGC-23-605244

**[PROPOSED] ORDER OVERRULING
 DEFENDANTS' DEMURRER TO
 PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED
 COMPLAINT AND DENYING
 DEFENDANTS' ALTERNATIVE MOTION
 TO STAY**

Hearing

Date: December 14, 2023
 Time: 9:30 a.m.
 Dept.: 302

Complaint Filed: March 17, 2023
 First Amended Complaint filed: May 17, 2023
 Second Amended Complaint filed: September
 21, 2023
 Trial Date: None Set

THIERMAN BUCK LLP
 7287 Lakeside Drive
 Reno, NV 89511
 (775) 284-1500 Fax (775) 703-5027
 Email info@thiermanbuck.com www.thiermanbuck.com

THIERMAN BUCK LLP
7287 Lakeside Drive
Reno, NV 89511
(775) 284-1500 Fax (775) 703-5027
Email info@thiermanbuck.com www.thiermanbuck.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Defendants' "demurrer to plaintiff's second amended complaint [SAC], or in the alternative, motion to stay on the grounds of another similar action pending" is denied.

This is a putative class and Private Attorney General Act (PAGA) action. It involves employees in one department at a Dignity Health hospital in Stockton. (SAC 5:16-19.) Plaintiff pleads that she and her cohort were ordered to work remotely starting with the Covid-19 pandemic, but have not been fully reimbursed for their internet and cellphone expenses. (*Id.* at 3:9-5:10.)

Defendants argue that this action should be abated or stayed because it is duplicative of an earlier-filed PAGA action in Los Angeles. I disagree. The words remote, pandemic or internet (or their derivatives) appear nowhere in that other action (of which judicial notice is taken). The other action seeks to cover a broad array of Dignity Health employees statewide, listing a number of wage and hour statutes in cookie cutter fashion. Our case is different and much more focused; it would be improper to abate or stay it.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/14/23, 2023

RL
HON. RICHARD ULMER
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT