Mark R. Thierman, Nev. Bar No. 8285 Joshua D. Buck, Nev. Bar No. 12187 **CLERK OF THE COURT** THIERMAN BUCK LLP 3 7287 Lakeside Drive Reno, Nevada 89511 Tel. (775) 284-1500 Fax. (775) 703-5027 6 Eric M. Epstein, Cal. Bar No. 64055 (pro hac vice forthcoming) ERIC M. EPSTEIN, APC 1901 Avenue of the Stars, #1100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 552-5366 10 Fax: (310) 556-8021 11 Counsel for plaintiffs 12 Christina John and Patricia Hellman 13 Elayna J. Youchah, Nev. Bar No. 5837 14 JACKSON LEWIS P.C. 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway 15 Suite 600 16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 17 Tel. (702) 921-2460 Fax. (702) 921-2461 18 19 Counsel for defendant 20 Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

under the September 6, 2016 settlement of the grievance filed by the Teamsters Local 986."

- 4. The Court confirms the appointment of Christina John and Patricia Hellman as class representatives. The Court approves the enhancement payment of \$15,000 each to plaintiffs Christina John and Patricia Hellman, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. The Court confirms the appointment of Thierman Buck LLP and Eric M. Epstein, APC, as class counsel for the settlement class and approves their requests for attorney's fees and litigation costs of of \$333,333 and \$27,924.78, respectively, to be divided as agreed upon by counsel.
- 6. The class notice was distributed to class members, pursuant to this Court's orders, and fully satisfied the requirements of Nev. R. Civ. P. 23 and any other applicable law.
- 7. Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 23(e), the Court grants final approval to this settlement and finds that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate in all respects, including the attorneys' fees, costs, and incentive award provisions. The Court specifically finds that the settlement confers a substantial benefit to settlement class members, considering the strength of plaintiff's claims and the risk, expense, complexity, and duration of further litigation. The response of the class supports settlement approval. No class members objected to the settlement and only two requested exclusion from the settlement. The Court further finds that the settlement is the result of arms-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of both sides, which supports approval of the settlement in accordance with the standards set forth in the joint motion for final approval of settlement. Settlement negotiations were not commenced until both sides had thoroughly investigated and researched the claims and defenses herein.
- 8. The Court finds that as of the date of this Order each and every class member has waived and released claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement