Electronically Filed 3/26/2019 9:32 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

C	0	M	P
_	u	181	1

Mark R. Thierman, Nev. Bar No. 8285 mark@thiermanbuck.com
Joshua D. Buck, Nev. Bar No. 12187 josh@thiermanbuck.com
Leah L. Jones, Nev. Bar No. 13161 leah@thiermanbuck.com
THIERMAN BUCK LLP
7287 Lakeside Drive
Reno, Nevada 89511
Tel. (775) 284-1500
Fax. (775) 703-5027

Christian Gabroy, Nev. Bar No. 8805 christian@gabroy.com
Kaine Messer, Nev. Bar No. 14240 kmesser@gabroy.com
GABROY LAW OFFICES
170 S. Green Valley Pkwy
Henderson, NV 89012
Tel. (702) 259-7777
Fax. (702) 259-7704

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

COREY SPLOND, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

FOOD WITH PURPOSE, LLC d/b/a and a/k/a SHARKY'S WOODFIRED MEXICAN GRILL also d/b/a and a/k/a SHARKY'S MODERN MEXICAN KITCHEN; SHIFTPIXY, INC.; DOES 1 through 50; inclusive,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: Dept. No.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Arbitration Exemption Claimed: Class Action

- 1) Failure to Pay Overtime in Violation of NRS 608.018 and 608.140;
- Failure to Timely Pay All Wages Due and Owing in Violation of NRS 608.020-050 and 608.140; and,
- 3) Injunctive Relief.

LIEN REQUESTED PURSUANT TO NRS 608.050

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Page 1 of 11

COMES NOW Plaintiff Corey Splond, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated and alleges the following:

All allegations in the Complaint are based upon information and belief except for those allegations that pertain to the Plaintiff named herein and his counsel. Each allegation in the Complaint either has evidentiary support or is likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the state law claims alleged herein because the amount in controversy exceeds \$15,000 and a party seeking to recover unpaid wages has a private right of action pursuant to the Nevada Constitution, Article 15 Section 16, and Nevada Revised Statute ("NRS") sections 608.050 and 608.140. See Neville v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court in & for County of Clark, 406 P.3d 499, 502 (Nev. 2017).
- 2. Plaintiff also claims a private cause of action to foreclose a lien against the property owner for wages due pursuant to NRS 608.050.
- 3. Plaintiff made a proper demand for wages due pursuant to NRS 608.140 on March 19, 2019.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court because the Defendants named herein maintains a principal place of business or otherwise are found in this judicial district and many of the acts complained of herein occurred in Clark County, Nevada.
 - 5. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues triable by jury herein.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Corey Splond (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Splond") was at all relevant times a resident of the State of Nevada.
- 7. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a non-exempt hourly employee from in or around June of 2018 to in or around January of 2019.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	8.	Defendant	Food	With	Purpose,	LLC	d/b/a	and	a/k/a	Sharky's	Woodfire	t
Mexic	an Grill	also d/b/a	and a/	k/a S	harky's Mo	odern	Mexic	can k	Citcher	is a fore	ign limited	•••
liability	comp	any register	ed wit	h the	Nevada S	ecreta	ary of	State).			

- 9. Defendant Food With Purpose, LLC hold the fictitious firm name for Sharky's Woodfired Mexican Grill with Clark County.
- 10. Defendant Food With Purpose, LLC d/b/a and a/k/a Sharky's Woodfired Mexican Grill also d/b/a and a/k/a Sharky's Modern Mexican Kitchen was doing business in this Judicial District in Clark County, Nevada where the subject incidences occurred.
- 11. At all times relevant, Defendant Food With Purpose, LLC d/b/a and a/k/a Sharky's Woodfired Mexican Grill also d/b/a and a/k/a Sharky's Modern Mexican Kitchen was Plaintiff's employer.
- 12. Defendant Shiftpixy, Inc. is a foreign corporation registered with the Nevada Secretary of State.
- 13. Defendant Shiftpixy, Inc. was doing business in this Judicial District in Clark County, Nevada where the subject incidences occurred.
 - 14. At all times relevant, Defendant Shiftpixy, Inc. was Plaintiff's employer.
- 15. Defendant Shiftpixy, Inc. represents on Plaintiff's 2018 W-2 that it was Plaintiff's employer.
- 16. At all relevant times, each Defendant was an agent, employee, jointventurer, shareholder, director, member, co-conspirator, alter ego, master, or partner of each of the other Defendants, and at all times mentioned herein were acting within the scope and course and in pursuance of his, her, or its agency, joint venture, partnership, employment, common enterprise, or actual or apparent authority in concert with each other and the other Defendants.
- 17. At all relevant times, the acts and omissions of Defendants concurred and contributed to the various acts and omissions of each and every one of the other Defendants in proximately causing the complaints, injuries, and damages alleged herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

At all relevant times herein, Defendants approved of, condoned and/or otherwise ratified each and every one of the acts or omissions complained of herein. At all relevant times herein, Defendants aided and abetted the acts and omissions of each and every one of the other Defendants thereby proximately causing the damages as herein alleged.

18. The Defendants named herein are the employers of the Plaintiff and all Class Members alleged herein. The Defendants are employers engaged in commerce under the provisions of NRS 608.011. The identity of DOES 1-50 is unknown at the time and the Complaint will be amended at such time when the identities are known to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each Defendants sued herein as DOE is responsible in some manner for the acts, omissions, or representations alleged herein and any reference to "Defendant" or "Defendants" herein shall mean "Defendants and each of them."

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a non-exempt employee from in or around June of 2018 to in or around January of 2019.
- 20. Defendants maintain an unlawful policy of not paying daily overtime to nonexempt hourly employees who earn 1 ½ times less than the applicable minimum wage.
 - 21. Plaintiff has frequently worked over 8 hours in any 24-hour workday.
- 22. On many occasions, Plaintiff has worked a shift until the late evening hours and then returned early the next morning to work a day shift. The number of hours he worked in a workday under Nevada law was over 8 hours in a 24-hour period of time.
- 23. For instance, during the workweek of September 18, 2018 Defendants scheduled Plaintiff to work and Plaintiff did work over 8 hours in a 24-hour period of time. See a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's time card and job detail attached hereto as Exhibit I.
- But despite having worked more than 8 hours in a 24-hour period of time, 24. Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at 1 ½ times his regular rate of pay for the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

overtime hours he worked. See Exhibit I.

- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendants maintain a company-wide policy and practice of refusing to pay daily overtime wages to Nevada employees who worked over 8 hours in a workday.
- 26. This "no daily overtime" policy has always been common to all non-exempt hourly paid employees at Defendants' locations in the state of Nevada.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 27. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference all the paragraphs above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees as a class action under Rule 23 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 29. The Nevada Overtime Class is defined as "All hourly paid non-exempt persons employed by Defendants in the state of Nevada who earned less than 1 1/2 times the applicable minimum wage and who worked over eight (8) hours in a workday at any time within 3 years from March 19, 2019 until judgment."
- 30. The Waiting Time Penalty Class is defined as "All Nevada Overtime Class Members who are former employees of Defendants."
- 31. Class treatment is appropriate under Rule 23's class certification mechanism because:
- The Classes are Sufficiently Numerous: Upon information and belief, a. Defendants employ, and has employed, in excess of 100 Nevada Overtime Class Members within the applicable time period. Because Defendants are legally obligated to keep accurate payroll records, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' records will establish the members of the Classes as well as their numerosity.
- Plaintiff's Claim is Typical to Those of Fellow Class Members: Each b. Class Member is and was subject to the same practices, plans, or policies as Plaintiff:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whether Defendants compensated Plaintiff and members of the Class daily overtime wages when they worked over 8 hours in a workday and whether members of the Waiting Time Penalty Class are entitled to waiting time penalties for the failure to pay them minimum, regular, and overtime wages owed.

- C. Common Questions of Law and Fact Exist: Common questions of law and fact exist and predominate as to Plaintiff and the Class Members, including, without limitation: whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class Members one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of 8 hours a workday and whether Defendants failed to pay the Waiting Time Penalty Class Members all their wages due and owing in violation of NRS 608.020-050.
- d. Plaintiff is Adequate Representative of the Class: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Classes because Plaintiff is a member of the Classes, he has issues of law and fact in common with all members of the Classes, and his interests are not antagonistic to Class members. Plaintiff and his counsel are aware of their fiduciary responsibilities to Class Members and are determined to discharge those duties diligently by vigorously seeking the maximum possible recovery for Class Members.
- e. Predominance/Superior Mechanism: Class claims predominate and a class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Each Class Member has been damaged and is entitled to recovery by reason of Defendants' illegal policy and/or practice of failing to compensate its employees in accordance with Nevada wage and hour law. The prosecution of individual remedies by each Class Member will tend to establish inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendants and result in the impairment of Class Members' rights and the disposition of their interest through actions to which they were not parties.

26

27

GABROY LAW OFFICES 170 S. Green Valley Pkwy., Suite 280 Henderson, Nevada 89012 (702) 259-7777 FAX: (702) 259-7704

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Failure to Pay Overtime Wages in Violation of NRS 608.018 and 608.140 (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nevada Overtime Class)

- 32. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference all the paragraphs above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. NRS 608.140 provides that an employee has a private right of action for unpaid wages.
 - 34. NRS 608.018(1) provides as follows:

An employer shall pay 1 1/2 times an employee's regular wage rate whenever an employee who receives compensation for employment at a rate less than 1 1/2 times the minimum rate prescribed pursuant to NRS 608.250 works: (a) More than 40 hours in any scheduled week of work; or (b) More than 8 hours in any workday unless by mutual agreement the employee works a scheduled 10 hours per day for 4 calendar days within any scheduled week of work.

35. NRS 608.018(2) provides as follows:

An employer shall pay 1 1/2 times an employee's regular wage rate whenever an employee who receives compensation for employment at a rate not less than 1 1/2 times the minimum rate prescribed pursuant to NRS 608.250 works more than 40 hours in any scheduled week of work.

- 36. As described above, Defendants maintain a policy and/or practice of illegal shift jamming (i.e., refusing to pay daily overtime when Plaintiff and members of the Nevada Overtime Class worked over 8 hours in a workday). As a result, Plaintiff and Nevada Overtime Class Members have been denied overtime compensation according to Nevada law.
- 37. Wherefore, Plaintiff demands for himself and all Nevada Overtime Class Members that Defendants pay Plaintiff and Nevada Overtime Class Members one and one-half times their "regular rate" of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a workday during the relevant time period together with attorneys' fees, costs, and interest as provided by law.

GABROY LAW OFFICES 170 S. Green Valley Pkwy., Suite 280 Henderson, Nevada 89012 (702) 259-7777 FAX: (702) 259-7704

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Waiting Time Penalties Pursuant to NRS 608.020-.050 and 608.140 (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Waiting Time Penalty Class)

- 38. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference all the paragraphs above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. NRS 608.140 provides that an employee has a private right of action for unpaid wages.
- 40. NRS 608.020 provides that "[w]henever an employer discharges an employee, the wages and compensation earned and unpaid at the time of such discharge shall become due and payable immediately."
- 41. NRS 608.030 provides that "[w]henever an employee resigns or quits his or her employment, the wages and compensation earned and unpaid at the time of the employee's resignation or quitting must be paid no later than...[t]he day on which the employee would have regularly been paid the wages or compensation; or[s]even days after the employee resigns or quits...whichever is earlier."
- 42. NRS 608.040(1)(a-b), in relevant part, imposes a penalty on an employer who fails to pay a discharged or quitting employee: "Within 3 days after the wages or compensation of a discharged employee becomes due; or on the day the wages or compensation is due to an employee who resigns or quits, the wages or compensation of the employee continues at the same rate from the day the employee resigned, quit, or was discharged until paid for 30-days, whichever is less."
- 43. NRS 608.050 grants an "employee lien" to each discharged or laid-off employee for the purpose of collecting the wages or compensation owed to them "in the sum agreed upon in the contract of employment for each day the employer is in default, until the employee is paid in full, without rendering any service therefore; but the employee shall cease to draw such wages or salary 30 days after such default."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 45. Despite demand, Defendants willfully refused and continue to refuse to pay Waiting Time Penalty Class Members all the wages that were due and owing upon the termination of their employment.
- 46. Wherefore, the Waiting Time Penalty Class Members demand thirty (30) days of pay as waiting penalties under NRS 608.040 and 608.140, and thirty (30) days of pay as waiting penalties under NRS 608.050 and 608.140, together with attorneys' fees, costs, interest, and punitive damages, as provided by law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Injunctive/Declaratory Relief (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nevada Overtime Class)

- 47. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference all the paragraphs above in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- As Defendants have failed to compensate Plaintiff and members of the 48. Overtime Class at the correct overtime wage rate for all the overtime hours that they worked pursuant to NRS 608.018, Defendants have wrongfully withheld wages properlyowed to the Plaintiff and the Overtime Class Members.
- Plaintiff and the Nevada Overtime Class will suffer irreparable injury if 49. Defendants are not enjoined from the future wrongful retention of wages owed.
- 50. As a result of the aforementioned unlawful payment practices, Plaintiff submits that there has been a likelihood of success on the merits that Plaintiff and the Class Members have been damaged, that there is irreparable harm, and Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter an Order that restrains Defendants from attempting to enforce the alleged unlawful payment practices.
- 51. Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter a declaration of rights/obligations in regards to all such unlawful payment practices in this matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

52. Furthe	er, disputes and	controversies	have arisen	between	the parties
relative to the lawf	ulness of the pay	ment practices,	and Plaintiff	is entitled	to have an
order entered purs	uant to Chapter 3	30 of the Nevad	da Revised St	atutes cor	nstruing the
payment practices	and adjudging an	d declaring Plai	ntiff and the (Class Mem	ıbers' rights
and remedies there	eunder including	such an Order s	stating that su	ch payme	nt practices
are unlawful.					

53. Plaintiff has been required to retain the services of an attorney and is entitled to a reasonable award of attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore Plaintiff, by himself and on behalf of all Class Members, prays for relief as follows relating to his class action allegations:

- 1. For an order certifying this action as a class action on behalf the proposed Classes and providing notice to all Class Members so they may participate in this lawsuit;
- 2. For an order appointing Plaintiff as the Representative of the Classes and his counsel as Class Counsel;
- 3. For damages according to proof for overtime compensation under NRS 608.018 and 608.140 for all hours worked over 8 hours per day;
- 4. For waiting time penalties pursuant to NRS 608.040-.050 and 608.140;
- 5. For a lien on the property where Plaintiff and all Nevada Class Members labored pursuant to NRS 608.050;
- 6. For interest as provided by law at the maximum legal rate;
- 7. For injunctive relief;
- 8. For declaratory relief;
- 9. For punitive damages;
- 10. For reasonable attorneys' fees authorized by statute;
- 11. For costs of suit incurred herein:

GABROY LAW OFFICES 170 S. Green Valley Pkwy., Suite 280

Henderson, Nevada 89012 (702) 259-7777 FAX: (702) 259-7704

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	- 1

28

- 12. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and,
- 13. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: March 25, 2019

GABROY LAW OFFICES

By: Christian Gabroy, Nev. Bar No. 8805 christian@gabroy.com
Kaine Messer, Nev. Bar No. 14240 kmesser@gabroy.com
170 S. Green Valley Pkwy, Suite 280 Henderson, NV 89012

Mark R. Thierman, Nev. Bar No. 8285 mark@thiermanbuck.com
Joshua D. Buck, Nev. Bar No. 12187 josh@thiermanbuck.com
Leah L. Jones, Nev. Bar No. 13161 leah@thiermanbuck.com
THIERMAN BUCK LLP
7287 Lakeside Drive
Reno, Nevada 89511

EXHIBIT

Parent Francisco	Costs to compropris	Section 1			
diga com					
	27 278cm	Street Committee of the			
	Long to	Man 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		of the same	
	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TRANSPORT NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED I				
44 G.,		⊆giri.	Agrico Describe 100		
	# Mary 0-17/2016	P Marin Process		200	
FIG. Conks		Plant Park Street			
No Comp	CONT.	Part .			Annual Company of the
		Page 1 Annual Company		The second second	
300 Come	Market Section 1	MAI SENSE	The second		
	OU1 192010	ten			
No Cons	the special section is	1.576(45)(46)(46)	1000000	Professional Control	
Wor-Crepts	CAUR	fisher Sang Programme			
44.2		4 Stranger			The second second second
305 Crosss			And the second second		
	The second secon	GEN CENTRAL SERVICE SE			
ACC - Cores		Dell G 2004 of Schemeler			to the second second
	CHUTT	Carin Man Surfrom Seri			
MAY - Clorus	The same of the sa	pri C Social Break			
	TRUE	per Cartings		The same of the sa	
	Total Hours Worked This W.	pm 0.11Not Streetuled			
360 Charles			23.48 Creekson 0.00	The second second second second second	
Section 1	COT	ani Late		and the second second second	
300 Cooks	84 Mari 9/24/2018 Ki	III) 4:50Cin Break			
	OUT AT				The second second
300 Cooks	No Tue 9725/2018 ga	Service of the second second	-	and the second second second	
	987 T15	ALC: NO.			
ADD COOKS	#1 Tue 9/25/2016 12:2	The same of the sa			
	our 40				The state of the s
300 Cooks	IN The 927/2019 90	The second secon	Opvin McGee	Circ Time	
e c					
leighted Average Overta No. 20 5 100	i il ne le onobled, totals are estimales il	n 4.25Cm Bresk			

300 - Cara e	M Inc. 9/27/2019 17/10/0				
	urr differen				
	***	22420 June			
	Total Hours Worked This Week	17:69 Regular 17:69	Centima 0.90		
	Job Totals	Regular Hours	Overtime Hours	Regular Pay Overtime	Pay Tousites
1	390 Croks	10770	0.03	123835	er cours
1		107.73 107.79			
	old Hears Merked This Pay Period:	100.00	9-93	1404.95	182 (239.07